

Quantum Aspects of Beam Physics 3
Higashi-Hiroshima, Japan, 7-11 January 2003
Ed. Pisin Chen, pp. —
©2003 by World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

UNRUH EFFECT AS PARTICULAR FRENET SERRET VACUUM RADIATION AND DETECTION PROPOSALS

HARET C. ROSU
hcr@ipicyt.edu.mx

Applied Mathematics and Computational Systems
Potosinian Institute of Scientific and Technological Research
Apdo Postal 3-74 Tangamanga, San Luis Potosí, SLP, Mexico

The paradigmatic Unruh radiation is an ideal and simple case of stationary scalar vacuum radiation patterns related to worldlines defined as Frenet-Serret curves. We briefly review the corresponding body of theoretical literature as well as the proposals that have been suggested to detect these types of quantum field radiation patterns.

1. Frenet-Serret Worldlines and Vacuum Radiation Patterns

A thermal radiation effect due to vacuum oscillations in quantum field theory has been discussed by Unruh in 1976,¹ using the so-called *detector method*. This was based on the first order perturbation calculation of the excitation rate of a quantum particle considered as a two-level field detector around its classical trajectory. Slightly earlier, Davies obtained a similar result using a *mirror model*,² that implies the calculation of the Bogoliubov coefficient β , like in particle production in astrophysics and cosmology. A ‘thermodynamic’ temperature, $T_V = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi c k_B} \cdot a$, directly proportional to the proper linear acceleration a is the main feature of this vacuum radiation pointing to a new universal quantum field thermal effect. Moreover, a direct link to the Hawking radiation in black hole physics could be thought of through the equivalence principle. On the other hand, in a little noticed paper of 1981, Letaw³ studied by means of Frenet-Serret tetrads and the same detector method the stationary world lines on which relativistic quantum particles with a linear coupling to the scalar vacuum have time-independent excitation spectra. These worldlines are characterized by the requirement that the geodetic interval between two points depends only on the proper time interval. Letaw employed a generalization of the Frenet-Serret equations to the four-dimensional Minkowski space in which the worldlines are characterized by the curvature κ and two torsions τ_1 and τ_2 instead of a single one as in the common three-dimensional space. Mathematically, this means a change of dimension of the antisymmetric matrix of curvature

invariants

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\kappa(s) & 0 & 0 \\ \kappa(s) & 0 & -\tau_1(s) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_1(s) & 0 & -\tau_2(s) \\ 0 & 0 & \tau_2(s) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where s is the proper time parameter along the classical Frenet-Serret trajectory. Not surprisingly, the curvature invariants are the proper acceleration and angular velocity of the world line. Solving the generalized Frenet-Serret equations for the simple case of constant invariants leads to six classes of stationary world lines. He also demonstrated the equivalence of the timelike Killing vector field orbits and the stationary world lines. Last but not least, Letaw did some calculations of the vacuum excitation spectra of detectors on the sample of six families of stationary world lines, i.e., of the following cosine Fourier transform

$$S(E, \tau) = 2\pi\rho(E) \int_{-\infty}^0 ds \langle 0 | \phi(x(\tau)) \phi(x(\tau + s)) | 0 \rangle \cos(Es), \quad (2)$$

E is the energy difference between the two levels of the particle considered as detector of the vacuum spectra, $\rho(E)$ is the density of states of the detected vacuum ‘quasiparticles’, and $\langle 0 | \phi(x(\tau)) \phi(x(\tau + s)) | 0 \rangle$ is the expectation value of the Wightman autocorrelation function in the ground state of the particle. Letaw’s work is a generalization of Unruh’s result concerning the excitation of a scalar particle detector moving with constant linear acceleration in the vacuum of flat spacetime. Unruh’s result became famous because of Unruh’s interpretation that the detector behaves as if in contact with a bath of scalar ‘particles’ with energies in a Planck spectrum of temperature proportional to $a/2\pi$ ($\hbar, c, k_B = 1$). The connection with the Hawking radiation and its paradigmatic nature led many theoretical physicists to focus on Unruh’s effect and there is a strong need for an experimental confirmation of the effect as a consequence of long debate.⁴ It is the main goal of this short survey to present the ideas that have been generated over the years in this respect.

1.1. *The Six Stationary Scalar Frenet-Serret Radiation Spectra*

We quote here those vacuum excitation spectra $S(E, \tau)$ that are independent of proper time τ , i.e., stationary.

1. Inertial (uncurved) worldlines $\kappa = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$

$$S_0(E) = \frac{E^3}{4\pi^2}.$$

The interpretation is a normal vacuum spectrum, i.e., as given by a vacuum of zero point energy per mode $E/2$ and density of states $E^2/2\pi^2$.

2. Hyperbolic worldlines $\kappa \neq 0, \tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$

$$S_\kappa(\epsilon_\kappa) = \frac{\epsilon_\kappa^3}{2\pi^2(e^{2\pi\epsilon_\kappa} - 1)}.$$

This is the unique noninertial case that is torsionless. The employed variable is $\epsilon_\kappa = E/\kappa$. The excitation spectrum is Planckian allowing the interpretation of $\kappa/2\pi$ as ‘thermodynamic’ temperature.

3. Ultratortorsional (helical) worldlines $|\kappa| < |\tau_1| \neq 0, \tau_2 = 0, \rho^2 = \tau_1^2 - \kappa^2$

$$S_{\tau_1}^u(\epsilon_\rho) \xrightarrow{\kappa/\rho \rightarrow \infty} S_{\tau_1}^p(\epsilon_\kappa) .$$

The excitation spectrum is an analytic function corresponding to the case 4 below only in the limit $\kappa \gg \rho$. Letaw plotted the numerical integral for $S_{\tau_1}^u(\epsilon_\rho)$, where $\epsilon_\rho = E/\rho$ for various values of κ/ρ .

4. Paratortorsional (semicubical parabolic) worldlines $\kappa = \tau_1 \neq 0, \tau_2 = 0$

$$S_{\tau_1}^p(\epsilon_\kappa) = \frac{\epsilon_\kappa^2}{8\pi^2\sqrt{3}} e^{-2\sqrt{3}\epsilon_\kappa} .$$

The excitation spectrum is analytic, and since there are two equal curvature invariants one can use the dimensionless energy variable ϵ_κ . It is worth noting that $S_{\tau_1}^p$, being a monomial times an exponential, is quite close to the Wien-type spectrum $S_W \propto \epsilon^3 e^{-\text{const.}\epsilon}$.

5. Infratortorsional (catenary) worldlines $|\kappa| > |\tau_1| \neq 0, \tau_2 = 0, \sigma^2 = \kappa^2 - \tau_1^2$

$$S_\kappa(\epsilon_\kappa) \xleftarrow{0 \leftarrow \tau/\sigma} S_{\tau_1}^i(\epsilon_\sigma) \xrightarrow{\tau/\sigma \rightarrow \infty} S_{\tau_1}^p(\epsilon_\kappa) .$$

In general, the catenary spectrum cannot be found analytically. It is an intermediate case, which for $\tau/\sigma \rightarrow 0$ tends to S_κ , whereas for $\tau/\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ tends toward $S_{\tau_1}^p$.

6. Hypertortorsional (variable pitch helicoid) worldlines $\tau_2 \neq 0$

S_{τ_2} is not analytic.

The hypertortorsional worldlines are rotating with constant $a_\perp$ to the rotation plane. The excitation spectrum is given in this case by a two-parameter set of curves. These trajectories are a superposition of the constant linearly accelerated motion and uniform circular motion. According to Letaw, the spatial path of a two-level detector on this world line is helicoid of variable pitch that decreases to zero at proper time interval $\tau = 0$ and increases thereafter. The corresponding vacuum spectra have not been calculated by Letaw, not even numerically.

1.2. Conclusions from the stationary scalar cases

Examining the six scalar stationary cases we see that only the hyperbolic worldlines, having just one nonzero curvature invariant, allow for a Planckian excitation spectrum and lead to a strictly one-to-one mapping between the curvature invariant κ and the ‘thermodynamic’ temperature ($T_\kappa = T_V = \kappa/2\pi$). The excitation spectrum due to semicubical parabolas can be fitted by Wien type spectra, the

radiometric parameter corresponding to both curvature and torsion. The other stationary cases, being nonanalytical, lead to approximate determination of the curvature invariants, defining locally the classical worldline on which a relativistic quantum particle moves. This explains why the Unruh effect became so prominent with regard to the other five types of stationary Frenet-Serret scalar spectra.

For the important case of electromagnetic vacuum fluctuations the FS formalism has not been used in a direct way. However, Hacyan and Sarmiento ⁶ developed a procedure by which they provided nonanalytic formulas (cosine Fourier transform integrals) for the spectral energy density, flux density, and stress density of the vacuum radiation in terms of the electromagnetic Wightman functions calculated by means of the two Killing vectors associated to circular trajectories.

2. Detection Proposals

Because the curvature thermodynamic temperature is given by $T_\kappa = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi c k} a$ this leads to $T_\kappa = 4 \cdot 10^{-23} a$ and one needs accelerations greater than $10^{20} g_\oplus$ to have ‘thermal’ effects of only a few Kelvin degrees. On the other hand, one should focus below the Schwinger acceleration for copious spontaneous pair creation out of QED vacuum, $a_{\text{Schw}} \approx m_e c^3 / \hbar \approx 10^{29} \text{ m/s}^2 \approx 10^{28} g_\oplus$. Thus the optimal range for detecting a possible Unruh effect entails eight orders of magnitude in proper acceleration

$$10^{20} g_\oplus \leq a \leq 10^{28} g_\oplus , \quad (3)$$

There are indeed several physical settings (for reviews, see ⁵) in which accelerations can be achieved only a few orders below the Schwinger acceleration and forthcoming technological advances could test routinely those acceleration scales. The Unruh effect, if it exists, can be revealed as a tiny thermal-like signal in the background of by far more powerful effects.

The following is the list of proposals.

2.1. *Unruh Effect in Storage Rings* ($a \sim 10^{22} g_\oplus$, $T_\kappa = 1200 \text{ K}$)

J.S. Bell and J.M. Leinaas imagined the first laboratory phenomenon connected to the Unruh effect. During 1983-1987 they published a number of papers on the idea that the depolarising effects in electron storage rings could be interpreted in terms of Unruh effect.⁷ However, the incomplete radiative polarization of the electrons in storage rings has been first predicted in early sixties by Sokolov and Ternov,⁸ as an effect due to the spin-flip synchrotron radiation in the framework of QED. Their approach successfully provides the observed maximum polarization of electrons at storage rings, $P_{\text{max}} = \frac{8\sqrt{3}}{15} = 0.924$.¹¹ Besides, the circular vacuum noise is not sufficiently “universal” since it always depends on both acceleration and velocity. This appears as a ‘drawback’ of the *storage ring electron radiometry*,¹² not to mention the very intricate spin physics.

The polarization calculated by Bell and Leinaas is very similar in shape to a formula for the polarization as a function of the electron gyromagnetic factor g obtained by Derbenev and Kondratenko,⁹ in 1973 that is considered the standard QED accelerator result for the polarization of beams. Their function $P_{DK}(g)$ is a combination of exponential and polynomial terms in the anomalous part of the gyromagnetic factor of the electron. Barber and Mane¹⁰ have shown that the DK and BL formalisms for the equilibrium degree of radiative electron polarization are not so different as they might look. They also obtained an even more general formula for the equilibrium polarization than the DK and BL ones and from their formula they estimated as negligible the differences between them.

Recently, the spin-flip synchrotron radiation has been experimentally shown to be important in the hard part of the spectrum in the axial channeling of electrons in the energy range 35-243 GeV incident on a W single crystal.¹³ This may revive the interest in the BL interpretation, especially in the cleaner planar channeling case.¹⁴

One can also recall that K.T. McDonald applied the Unruh temperature formula for a rapid calculation of the damping in a linear focusing channel.¹⁵ This is a transport system at accelerators that confines the motion of charged particles along straight central rays by means of a potential quadratic in the transverse spatial coordinates. He used the same idea about two decades ago to reproduce Sands' results on the limits of damping of the phase volume of beams in electron storage rings.

2.2. Unruh Effect and the Physics of Traps ($a \sim 10^{21} g_{\oplus}$, $T_{\kappa} = 2.4 K$)

The very successful and precise physics of traps could help detecting the circular thermal-like vacuum noise. The proposal belongs to J. Rogers¹⁶ being one of the most attractive. The idea of Rogers is to place a small superconducting Penning trap in a microwave cavity. A single electron is constrained to move in a cyclotron orbit around the trap axis by a uniform magnetic field (Rogers' figure is $B = 150$ kGs). The circular proper acceleration is $a = 6 \times 10^{21} g_{\oplus}$ corresponding to $T = 2.4$ K. The velocity of the electron is maintained fixed ($\beta = 0.6$) by means of a circularly polarized wave at the electron cyclotron frequency, compensating also for the irradiated power. The static quadrupole electric field of the trap creates a quadratic potential well along the trap axis in which the electron oscillates. The axial frequency is 10.5 GHz (more than 150 times the typical experimental situation¹⁷) for the device scale chosen by Rogers. This is the measured frequency since it is known that the best way of observing the electron motion from the outside world is through the measurement of the current due to the induced charge on the cap electrodes of the trap, as a consequence of the axial motion of the electron along the symmetry axis.¹⁷ At 10.5 GHz the difference in energy densities between the circular electromagnetic vacuum noise and the universal linear scalar noise are negligible (see Fig. 2 in Rogers' work). Even better experimental setups in this context could be

electrons in cylindrical Penning traps with the trap itself representing the microwave cavity.¹⁸

2.3. *Unruh Effect and Nonadiabatic Casimir Effect* ($a \sim 10^{20}g_{\oplus}$, $T \sim 1\text{ K}$)

Yablonovitch,¹⁹ proposed a *plasma front* as an experimental equivalent of a *fast moving mirror*. Plasma fronts can be created when a gas is suddenly photoionized. The argument is that the phase shift of the zero-point electromagnetic field transmitted through a plasma window whose index of refraction is falling with time (from 1 to 0) is the same as when reflected from an accelerating mirror. Consider the case of hyperbolic motion. Since the velocity is

$$v = c \tanh(a\tau/c) \quad (4)$$

where τ is the observer's proper time, the Doppler shift frequency will be

$$\omega_D = \omega_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 - v/c}{1 + v/c}} = \omega_0 \exp(-a\tau/c) \quad (5)$$

and consequently a plane wave of frequency ω_0 turns into a wave with a time-dependent frequency. Such waves are called chirped waves in nonlinear optics and acoustics. Eq. (5) represents an *exponential chirping* valid also for Schwarzschild black holes with the substitution $a = c^4/4GM$ (G is Newton's constant and M is the mass parameter of the Schwarzschild black hole).

The technique of producing plasma fronts/windows in a gas by laser breakdown, and the associated frequency upshifting phenomena (there are also downshifts) of the electromagnetic waves interacting with such windows, are well settled since about twenty years. Blue shifts of about 10% have been usually observed in the transmitted laser photon energy.

In his paper, Yablonovitch works out a very simple model of a *linear* chirping due to a refractive index linearly decreasing with time, $n(t) = n_0 - \dot{n}t$, implying a Doppler shift of the form $\omega \rightarrow \omega[1 + \frac{\dot{n}}{n}t] \sim \omega[1 + \frac{a}{c}t]$. To have accelerations $a = 10^{20}g_{\oplus}$ the laser pulses should be less than 1 picosecond. Even more promising may be the nonadiabatic photoionization of a semiconductor crystal in which case the refractive index can be reduced from 3.5 to 0 on the timescale of the optical pulse. As discussed by Yablonovitch, the pump laser has to be tuned just below the Urbach tail of a direct-gap semiconductor in order to create weakly bound virtual electron-hole pairs. These pairs contribute a large reactive component to the photocurrent since they are readily polarized. The background is due to the bremsstrahlung emission produced by real electron-hole pairs, and to diminish it one needs a crystal with a big Urbach slope (the Urbach tail is an exponential behavior of the absorption coefficient).

In addition, Eberlein,²⁰ elaborated on Schwinger's interpretation of sonoluminescence in terms of zero point fluctuations and asserted that whenever *an interface*

between two dielectrics or a dielectric and the vacuum moves noninertially photons are created, i.e., the Unruh effect occurs. An interesting discussion in favor of “dielectric windows” rather than the “plasma window” is provided by Dodonov *et al.*²¹ Moreover, Grishchuk, Haus, and Bergman,²² discussed a nonlinear Mach-Zhender configuration to generate radiation through the optical squeezing of zero-point fluctuations interacting with a *moving index grating* that is also reminiscent of Unruh effect.

2.4. Unruh Effect and Channeling ($a \sim 10^{30}g_{\oplus}$, $T_{\kappa} \sim 10^{11} K$?)

Relativistic particles can acquire extremely high transverse accelerations when they are channeled through crystals. Darbinian and collaborators²³ related this physical setting to Unruh radiation.

The idea is to measure the *Unruh radiation emitted in the Compton scattering of the channeled particles with the Planck spectrum of the inertial crystal vacuum*. The main argument is that the crystallographic fields act with large transverse accelerations on the channeled particles. The estimated transverse proper acceleration for positrons channeled in the (110) plane of a diamond crystal is $a = 10^{25}\gamma \text{ cm/s}^2$, and at a $\gamma = 10^8$ one could reach $10^{33} \text{ cm/s}^2 = 10^{30}g_{\oplus}$. Working first in the particle instantaneous rest frame, Darbinian *et al* derived the spectral angular distribution of the Unruh photons in that frame. By Lorentz transformation to the lab system they got the number of Unruh photons per unit length of crystal and averaged over the channeling diameter. At about $\gamma = 10^8$ the Unruh intensity, i.e., the intensity per unit pathlength of the Compton scattering on the Planck vacuum spectrum becomes comparable with the Bethe-Heitler bremsstrahlung ($dN_{\gamma}/dE \propto 1/E$, and mean polar emission angle $\theta = 1/\gamma$).

Similar calculations have been applied by the same group,²⁴ to get an estimate of the Unruh radiation generated by TeV electrons in a uniform magnetic field as well as in a circularly polarized laser field but the conclusions are not optimistic because of the huge synchrotron background.

2.5. Unruh Radiation and Ultraintense Lasers ($a \sim 10^{25}g_{\oplus}$, $T_{\kappa} = 1.2 \cdot 10^6 K$)

A Unruh signal could be obtained in electron Petawatt-class laser interaction according to a proposal put forth by Chen and Tajima in 1999.²⁵ Uniform acceleration through the usual quantum vacuum (Minkowski vacuum) of the electromagnetic field distorts the two-point function of the zero-point fluctuations in such a way that

$$\langle E_i(-\tau/2)E_j(+\tau/2) \rangle = \frac{4\hbar}{\pi c^3} \delta_{ij} \frac{(a/c)^4}{\sinh^4(a\tau/2c)} . \quad (6)$$

The main point of Tajima and Chen is to introduce the so-called laser strength (ponderomotive) parameter $a_0 = \frac{eE_0}{mc\omega_0}$ in this formula and in all their estimations. They calculate the Unruh radiation based on the autocorrelation function in Eq. (6).

The accelerated electron is assumed “classical”, i.e., with well-defined acceleration, velocity, and position. This allows to introduce a Lorentz transformation so that the electron is described in its instantaneous proper frame. In the words of Chen and Tajima “the electron reacts to the vacuum fluctuations with a nonrelativistic quivering motion in its proper frame” that triggers additional (Unruh) radiation besides the classical Larmor radiation.

The important claim of Chen and Tajima is that there is a blind spot in the Larmor angular distribution for azimuthal angle $\Delta\phi = 10^{-3}$ and polar angle $\Delta\theta \ll 1/a_0$ where the Unruh thermal-like signal could be revealed. Since at each half cycle the electron almost suddenly becomes relativistic, with constant $\gamma \sim a_0$, the Unruh radiation is boosted along the direction of polarization in the lab frame. Moreover, they showed that the autocorrelation function, and therefore the Unruh signal, tend to diminish more rapidly than that from Larmor within the laser half cycle. This should induce a sharper time structure for the former that could help its detection.

2.6. Unruh Radiation in Quantum Optics (*moderate a could work*)

This is a very recent proposal in several versions due to a Scully collaboration.²⁶ The idea is to enhance the thermal Unruh radiation signal from an accelerating He^+ ion used as a two-level type detector of transition frequency ω passing through a high Q “single mode” cavity of frequency ν in the vicinity of the atomic frequency ω . The enhancement is very significant, in the sense that for reasonable values of the parameters, the effective Boltzmann factor turns from the usual exponential behaviour to a linear dependence in $\alpha/2\pi\omega$, where $\alpha = a/c$. Employing quantum optics calculations, they showed that this type of Unruh effect is due to nonadiabatic transitions stemming from the counter-rotating term $\hat{a}_k^+ \hat{\sigma}^+$ in the time-dependent atom-field interaction Hamiltonian. The Larmor radiation lobes ($\sim \sin^2 \theta$) will certainly be present but the blind spot in the forward direction of motion could be hopefully used for the detection of this nonadiabatic thermal effect.

3. Conclusion

Although the Unruh radiative effect is interpreted as a thermal effect of the noninertially and nonadiabatically-produced vacuum state, its thermal features are quite distinct of the usual thermal thermodynamics effects. For example, it is a highly correlated state with EPR-type correlations,²⁷ and not a thermal uncorrelated state as the equilibrium states in statistical thermodynamics. Some of the most feasible proposals are related to nonadiabatic conditions (i.e., capable of producing very rapid oscillations) for those cases in which the nonadiabaticity parameter depends on the proper acceleration. It is only for this reason that an association with Unruh’s effect is mentioned. A direct detection of the scalar vacuum spectra has not been proposed so far. It requires noninertial propagation of a source such as a dislocation or a vortex through the corresponding phonon medium. For more details the interested reader can look at the extended version in electronic preprint form.²⁸

Acknowledgements

The author very much appreciates the invitation of Dr. Pisin Chen to QABP3 and the kindness of the hosts at Higashi-Hiroshima. He also is very grateful to Prof. Marlan O. Scully and people in his group for discussions on the ‘Unruh mazer’ proposal.

References

1. W.G. Unruh, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 870 (1976)
2. P. Davies, J. Phys. A **8**, 609 (1975)
3. J.R. Letaw, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1709 (1981); J.D. Pfausch, “Quantum Field Theory in Stationary Coordinate Systems”, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Texas at Austin (1981)
4. A.O. Barut, J.P. Dowling, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 2277 (1990)
5. H.C. Rosu, Gravitation & Cosmology **7**, 1 (2001), gr-qc/9406012; H.C. Rosu, in *Artificial Black Holes*, pp 307-334 (World Scientific, 2002), gr-qc/0012083
6. S. Hacyan, A. Sarmiento, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 2641 (1989)
7. J.S. Bell, J.M. Leinaas, Nucl. Phys. B **212**, 131 (1983); *ibidem* B **284**, 488 (1987); J.S. Bell, R.J. Hughes, J.M. Leinaas, Z. Phys. C **28**, 75 (1985)
8. A.A. Sokolov, I.M. Ternov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **153**, 1052-1054 (1963) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. **8**, 1203 ((1964)]
9. Ya.S. Derbenev, A.M. Kondratenko, Sov. Phys. JETP **37**, 968 (1973)
10. D.P. Barber, S.R. Mane, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 456 (1988)
11. J.R. Johnson, R. Prepost, D.E. Wiser, J.J. Murray, R.F. Schwitters, C.K. Sinclair, Nucl. Instr. and Methods **204**, 261 (1983)
12. M. Kühne, in *New Developments and Applications in Optical Radiometry*, Inst. Phys. Conf. Ser. No. 92, IOP Publishing Ltd, (1989)
13. K. Kirsebom et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 054801 (2001). For theory, see A.V. Korol, A.V. Solov'yov and W. Greiner, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **28**, 627 (2002)
14. U. Uggerhoj, private communication at QABP-3.
15. K.T. McDonald, in QABP-1 (World Scientific, 1999) pp. 643-648, physics/0003061
16. J. Rogers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2113 (1988)
17. L.S. Brown, G. Gabrielse, Rev. Mod. Phys. **58**, 233 (1986)
18. J. Tan, G. Gabrielse, Appl. Phys. Lett. **55**, 2144 (1989); Phys. Rev. A **48**, 3105 (1993)
19. E.Yablonovitch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1742 (1989)
20. C. Eberlein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3842 (1996); Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2772 (1996)
21. V.V. Dodonov, A.B. Klimov, D.E. Nikonov, Phys. Rev. A **47**, 4422 (1993)
22. L. Grishchuk, H.A. Haus, K. Bergman, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1440 (1992)
23. S.M. Darbinian, K.A. Ispirian, A.T. Margarian, preprint Yerevan Phys.Inst. YERPHY-1188(65)-89 (August 1989)
24. S.M. Darbinian, K.A. Ispiryan, M.K. Ispiryan, A.T. Margaryan, JETP Lett. **51**, 110 (1990)
25. P. Chen, T. Tajima, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 256 (1999)
26. M.O. Scully, V.V. Kocharovskiy, A. Belyanin, F. Capasso, E. Fry, “Enhancing Unruh Acceleration Radiation via Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics”, to be published (2003)
27. L.N. Pringle, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 2178 (1989); D.N. Klyshko, Phys. Lett. A **154**, 433 (1991)
28. H.C. Rosu, “Frenet-Serret Vacuum Radiation, Detection Proposals and Related Topics”, hep-th/0301128 (2003)